



Litigation

- Star Athletica v. Varsity Brands (SCOTUS 2017)
- Capitol Records v. Vimeo (SCOTUS 2017)
- Fox Television Stations v. Aereokiller (9th Cir. 2017)
- Perfect 10 v Giganews (9th Cir. 2017)
- *BWP Media USA v. T & S Software Associates* (5th Cir 2017)
- Great Minds v. FedEx Office and Print Services (ED NY 2017)
- ALS Scan v. Cloudflare (CD CA 2017)
- American Ed Research Assn v Public.Resource.org (DC DC 2017)
- Code Revision Commission v. Public.Resource.org (ND GA 2017)

Star Athletica v. Varsity Brands (SCOTUS 2017)



Star Athletica v. Varsity Brands (SCOTUS 2017)

- Varsity produces cheerleader outfits, registered outfit's designs
- Sued Star for infringement, DC SJ for Star, 6th
 Cir. Reverses cert granted
- 6-2 Opinion Justice Thomas rules features in useful article eligible only if:
 - Can be perceived as two- or three- dimension work of art separate from the article; and,
 - Would qualify as protectable work, either on its own or fixed in another tangible medium imagined separate from the article

Star Athletica v. Varsity Brands (SCOTUS 2017)

- Thomas quickly finds tests passed
 - Decorations have pictorial, graphic, or sculptural qualities, meets statutory test and precedent; and
 - Can be taken from outfits and applied to other mediums
- But garments can't be copyrighted (i.e., shape, cut, and dimensions)
- Ginsburg concurrence not apply separability test not designs on useful article, designs themselves are copyrightable pictorial or graphic works *reproduced on* useful articles
- Breyer/Kennedy dissent: do not separately perceive the designs from the outfits

Capitol Records v. Vimeo (SCOTUS 2017)

- Status of pre-1972 sound recordings, covered by state law, '76 Act pre-empted sound recordings created after the beginning of 1972
- Issue: whether §512 safe harbor applies to pre-72 songs on ISP website
- 2nd Cir: §512 applies, and
 - Mere fact employee viewed in some fashion a video with all or most of recognizable song not knowledge or red flag knowledge
 - Rejected willful blindness
- Supreme Court denied cert, leaves 2nd Cir Court ruling stand

Fox Television Stations v. Aereokiller (9th Cir. 2017)

- DC found defendant's service qualified as a §111 "Cable System"
- While finding §111 "ambiguous," 9th Cir. reverses
- Notes Congress' interest in crafting cable system rules reverse old CATV cases, local service vs. Internet, investment in infrastructure
- Acknowledging values in FilmOn's position, but array of competing interests "does not unambiguously counsel for or against a broad reading of §111"
- Because statute ambiguous, looks to Copyright Office
- Panel disagreed whether give Copyright Office *Chevron* or *Skidmore* deference; latter less deferential examine reasoning, persuasiveness
- On four occasions, Copyright Office opined not a Cable System
- Finds opinions persuasive under either Chevron or Skidmore and defers to Copyright Office

Perfect 10 v Giganews (9th Cir. 2017)

- Perfect 10 sued Giganews for direct and secondary infringement based on Usenet users posting its pictures
- Court rejected Perfect 10's argument: Aereo eliminated volitional-conduct requirement, narrowly interpreted
- Found Giganews volitional conduct lacking for direct –
 passively storing Users' posts, did honor §512 TDNs
- No contributory as Perfect 10 failed to raise a triable issue of fact showing a material contribution or inducement
- Vicarious no showing of a causal link between infringing conduct and Giganews financial benefit
- May be last Perfect 10 case, after decision Perfect 10 assets put into receivership

BWP Media USA v. T & S Software Associates (5th Cir 2017)

- Defendant website host sued for direct liability (no agent, no safe harbor), DC granted SJ for Defendant
- Like *Perfect 10*, 5th Cir. rejects argument that *Aereo* eliminated volitional-conduct requirement
- Finds *Aereo* based on technology (CATV-like system), citing Scalia's dissent ("who selects the copyrighted content" liable for direct infringement)
- Also, rejects Plaintiff's argument that DMCA eliminated volitional-conduct requirement
 - §512(1) states DMCA not exclusive defense
 - Cannon of Construction Congress must explicitly state supplanting common law rule

Great Minds v. FedEx Office and Print Services (EDNY 2017)

- Great Minds (GM) publishes *Eureka Math* for U.S. school districts
- Provides material under a "Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial – Share Alike 4.0 International Public License"
- Allows teachers, students and school districts to freely share, reproduce, and use for "non-commercial, educational benefit"
- Two districts had FedEx copy materials at commercial rates for nonprofit district use
- GM sued FedEx for commercial copying
- Granted FedEx Motion to Dismiss finding lack of clear restriction on licensee (district) ability to use 3rd parties in implementing the license, interpreted to allow such assistance
- Distinguished *Princeton* and *Kinko's* holdings of no fair use as students there were not licensees nor was FedEx pleading fair use

ALS Scan v. Cloudflare (CDCA 2017)

- Plaintiff alleges defendant Steadfast "hosts" "pirate" websites on its cloud services (e.g., imagebam.com) despite numerous TDNs alerting of the infringement; Steadfast failed to implement or enforce a repeat infringer policy by removing imagebam.com from its servers
- Accuses Steadfast of contributory infringement and vicarious infringement
- Steadfast asserts plaintiff failed to adequately plead each cause of action and Steadfast entitled to protection under the DMCA safe harbor provisions
- Court dismisses contributory, ALS failed to allege knowledge of imagebam material, material contribution or inducement just presence of material on website
- Dismisses vicarious no right and ability to supervise imagebam and no direct financial interest
- Did not address DMCA defense as dismissed all copyright claims

American Ed Research Assn v Public.Resource (DCDC 2017)

- Defendant disseminated Plaintiff's standard, which EPA had adopted by reference
- Judge ruled on both general copyrightability of standards and on standards referenced or incorporated into laws
- Copyright not available for U.S. government works, but can hold transferred copyrights (§105)
- Question status when government incorporates standard by reference or adopts the standard as law?
- Judge held Congress in legislative history left private copyright determinations to the agency and EPA found standards "reasonably available," thus Plaintiff retained copyright
- Only where standard adopted as the law itself, would §105 apply
- Defendant also argued standards not copyrightable in general, citing Baker v. Selden, Judge noted standards are entitled to protection
- Found again fair use defense because ...

American Ed Research Assn v Public.Resource (DCDC 2017)

- Purpose and Character Judge found defendant's actions not transformative, little attention to nonprofit since active engagement by distribution to same market bears "commercial" elements
- Nature of Work even though factual, Judge focused on Constitution IP Clause "Progress of Science" and Plaintiff's "standards vital to advancement"
- Amount Defendant used all
- Effect on Market found widespread conduct would be a substantial adverse impact on potential market or value of Plaintiff's work

Code Revision Commission v. PublicResource.org (ND GA 2017)

- Similar to previous decision with same defendant: whether "official" Annotated Georgia Code is entitled to copyright protection
- Commission worked with LexisNexis to create additional material, LexisNexis assigned copyright to Commission
- Legislature votes to amend "the Official Code of Georgia Annotated"
 - Is the Annotated Code protected?
 - Is Defendant's use a fair use?

Code Revision Commission v. PublicResource.org (ND GA 2017)

- Judge held Annotated Code protected, observes annotations historically copyrighted
- While unusual most official codes not annotated however, private agreement doesn't change annotations into uncopyrightable material
- Denied fair use defense
 - 1. Not transformative, says although a nonprofit, Defendant paid (grants, contributions) & "profits" from attention
 - 2. Finds neutral, although factual, cites "tremendous amount of work" confirms "annotations are original works entitled to broad copyright protection"
 - 3. Copies all
 - 4. "When considering Defendant's actions being performed by everyone, it is inevitable that Plaintiffs' markets would be substantially adversely impacted."

Administrative – Legislative Developments



Register of Copyrights Selection and Accountability Act of 2017 (H.R. 1695)

- Chairman Goodlatte and Ranking Member Conyers, with 29 additional cosponsors, introduced H.R. 1695
- Amendment makes two changes
 - Removes appointment by the Librarian and inserts: "The Register of Copyrights shall be a citizen of the United States with a professional background and experience in copyright law and shall be appointed by the President by and with the advice and consent of the Senate."
 - President may remove the Registrar and notify Congress
- Amendment also adds a 10 year term, parallel with Librarian's term; but, allows the Register to serve until a successor confirmed and takes the oath of office
- Other Copyright Office issues to be resolved later, but since Registrar is vacant, Goodlatte wants to act now
- House markup held last week, Jackson-Lee amendment accepted: Congress recommends three candidate slate to President
- Reported out on 27-1 vote



EU Copyright Act Draft

- 58-page draft dated March 10 with proposed changes and commentary
- Modernize EU copyright rules to adapt to the digital era
- Provide consumers with better access and choice for online content, enhance education, cultural heritage and research, and achieve a proper and well-functioning copyright marketplace
- New provision relevant to copy protection:
 - Member States shall ensure that national law provides users with access to a court or other relevant authority for the purpose of asserting their right of use under an [copyright] exception or limitation
- Can they finish before 2019 new Parliament (attempt to separate out Marrakesh Treaty)?

Brexit - Department for Exiting the European Union

- Article 50 "Dear Jacques" (Brexit) Notice filed last week, March 2019 deadline
- Until UK leaves all EU rules, regulations and ECJ decisions control
- Grand Repeal Bill to be introduced enact into UK law all existing EU rules, regulations and ECJ decisions affective day after Brexit
- What changes will they make?

Australia Introduces Copyright Act

- Australian Parliament introduced an amendment to their Copyright Act
- Extensive debate surrounding the efforts to change Australia's copyright law, a mess – three strikes effort collapsed, fair use doctrine, and ISP safe harbors debate
- Amendment avoids most of these contentious issues
- Instead, would give Australians, especially those with disabilities, given greater access to a range of copyright material
- Also allow libraries and archives more flexibility in preserving copyright material in their collection
- Finally, simplifies the requirements for use of works and broadcasts for educational purposes, including online exams

Canada: Jeramie Douglas King

- First Canadian anticircumvention law decision since 2012 enactment, like U.S. prohibits circumventing TPMs and trafficking
- Defendant sold "game copiers," accepted memory cards containing infringing games; when inserted in Nintendo consoles, combined infringing games and "game copiers" allow those games to play, circumventing various Nintendo TPMs
- Defendant also provided "mod keys" that, when inserted into certain Nintendo devices, would also avoid Nintendo's TPMs
- Defendant guilty of circumvention and infringement, applied perwork maximum statutory damages: \$11,700,000 for circumvention, \$60,000 for infringing three works and \$1 million dollars punitive damages; also injunction issued, found "interoperability exception" inapplicable
- Decision represents Canada going from 0-to-60 in employing the anticircumvention amendment, holds law's rules "create legal rights to limit access even without any actual copying"

New Zealand Judge Upholds Kim Dotcom Extradition

- Late last month New Zealand High Court judge upheld earlier court ruling that Kim Dotcom and three colleagues can be extradited to the U.S. to face criminal charges
- Court found extradition couldn't be based on copyright infringement but could be on conspiracy charges
- Decision comes five years after U.S. authorities shut down Dotcom's file-sharing website Megaupload and filed charges of conspiracy, racketeering and money laundering
- If found guilty, DotCom could face decades in U.S.
 prison

